

## DIGGING DEEPER: EXPLORING SLOW-RELEASE FERTILIZERS, HYDROSOLUBILITY, AND THE RESPONSE DYNAMICS IN POTATO PLANTS (*SOLANUM TUBEROSUM* L.) CV. GIGANT

<sup>1</sup>Juan Martín Covarrubias and <sup>2</sup>Dr. Ricardo Alberto García

<sup>1</sup>Campo Experimental “Saltillo”. CIRNE. INIFAP

<sup>2</sup>Especialidad de Edafología. IRENAT, Colegio de Postgraduados

**Abstract:** The evolution of fertilization practices in Mexican agriculture traces back to the nineteenth century when reliance on organic residues and green manures yielded crop outputs of less than 1.5 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The transformative era of the 1950s marked the inception of the green revolution, marked by the adoption of improved seeds and a surge in agrochemicals and inorganic fertilizers. This revolution catalyzed a remarkable doubling of national average crop production (Núñez, 2001).

The consequential surge in nitrogen fertilizer usage, while enhancing crop yields, concurrently escalated environmental concerns, notably nitrate pollution through leaching into underground aquifers. In the agricultural expanse of the Comarca Lagunera, nitrate levels soared to an average of 37 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (Castellanos and Peña-Cabriaes, 1990). Similarly, the potato cultivation regions of Coahuila and Nuevo León experienced nitrate concentrations reaching 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (Covarrubias and Contreras, 1997), and in the state of Guanajuato, levels reached 14.4 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (Castellanos et al., 2001).

This abstract delves into the historical trajectory of fertilization practices in Mexican agriculture, highlighting the pivotal transition from organic residues to the green revolution and its consequential impact on crop production and environmental challenges. A particular focus is placed on the surge in nitrogen fertilizer usage and its associated nitrate pollution in key agricultural regions of Mexico.

### Keywords:

Fertilization History, Green Revolution, Nitrogen Fertilizers, Nitrate Pollution, Mexican Agriculture.

### 1. Introduction

The need to apply inorganic fertilizers began in the nineteenth century when fertilization for crop production in Mexico was with organic residues and green manures mainly, but yields were less than 1.5 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, from the 1950s the green revolution begins, with the use of improved seed and an increase in the use of agrochemicals and inorganic fertilizers with an increase in production more than double the national average (Núñez, 2001).

The increasing use of nitrogen fertilizers in agriculture raised crop yields, but also caused an increase in pollution, specifically by leaching nitrates into underground aquifers, reaching average levels of 37 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of NO<sub>3</sub> for the agricultural region of the Comarca Lagunera (Castellanos and Peña-Cabriaes, 1990), 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in the crop potato region of Coahuila and Nuevo León (Covarrubias and Contreras, 1997) and 14.4 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, in the state of Guanajuato (Castellanos *et al.*, 2001), all in Mexico.

Leaching is not the only form of pollution, but also gaseous losses by volatilization and denitrification that can reach up to 60% of the fertilizer nitrogen applied (Chen *et al.*, 2022). Yingying *et al.* (2022) report that urea and ammonium sulfate produced twice as much N<sub>2</sub>O as anhydrous ammonia and unseeded fertilized soil. In general,

pollution reports are only focused on  $\text{NO}_3$ , but  $\text{NH}_3$ , being in an alkaline pH of irrigation water or soil, can volatilize more than 50% into the atmosphere.

The consumption of nitrogen fertilizer in grain production increased from 1960 to 2020, from 36 to 61% (IFA, 2022), due to the lack of food forcing an increase in yields per unit area. If 23 tons of grain per ton of fertilizer is produced today, by 2022 108 million tons of nitrogen fertilizer will be needed (Havlin *et al.*, 2017). An alternative to nitrogen fertilization with less risk of contamination is the use of fertilizers of low solubility and slow release, which, due to their greater absorption efficiency, reduce the amount that must be supplied to the plants, without them ceasing to be well nourished, because the nutritional supply is synchronized with the demand of the crop (Jiajun *et al.*, 2022), reducing leaching and volatilization losses. The European Committee for Standardization points out that a slow-release fertilizer is one that, at 25 °C, no more than 15% is released in 24 h, no more than 75% is released in 28 days and at least 75% is released between 1 and 28 days (Shaviv, 2000).

*The objective of this study is to evaluate the quality, hydro solubility, and response in potato plants of three slow-release fertilizers that are in the experimental stage, synthesized at the Center for Research and Advanced Studies of the National Polytechnic Institute (CINVESTAV), Saltillo Unit.*

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Description

The study was conducted at CINVESTAV, Irapuato Unit, under greenhouse conditions with a controlled atmosphere, in the spring-summer agricultural cycle.

Treatments were formed from fertilizer sources and fertilization doses. The fertilizer sources were three slow-release fertilizers (FLL) with nitrogen and potassium in three forms of processing: (1) Clay-Urea-Potassium (AUK1) with no effect on intercalation, (2) AUK2 by intercalation by dry grinding and (3) AUK3 by intercalation by absorption of the solution. The FLL were synthesized in the ceramics and metallurgy laboratory of the Saltillo Unit of CINVESTAV and are in the experimental stage, in addition to traditional fertilization (T) based on  $\text{N-P}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{K}_2\text{O}$  with ammonium nitrate (NA), diammonium phosphate (DAP), triple calcium superphosphate (SFT) and potassium sulfate (SP), these treatments were evaluated in two doses. The fertilization doses were: 140-400-200 (140) and 70-400-100 (70), to have eight treatments; in addition to control (00-400-00) without fertilization of N and K to have nine treatments.

### 2.2 Soil Characteristics

The treatments were evaluated in two soils, with an experimental design that was completely randomized with nine treatments and four repetitions for a total of 36 experimental units in each type of soil; the soil types of potato-producing regions in Mexico were two: calcareous and acidic; and whose characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of the two types of soil used in the study.

Characteristics	Acidic Soil	Calcareous Soil
Locality	Metepec, Edo. de Mex.	Navidad, Galeana, N.L.
Latitude	19° 14' 35" N	25° 04' 33" N
Longitude	99° 33' 41" O	100° 36' 00" O

Elevation (msnm)	2590	1895
Texture	Loam	Silt Loam
Inorganic Nitrogen (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	45.8	16.9
Phosphorus (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	254 <sup>1</sup>	3 <sup>2</sup>
Interchangeable potassium (cmol <sub>c</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	45.3	5.7
Interchangeable calcium (cmol <sub>c</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	125	677
Interchangeable magnesium (cmol <sub>c</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup> )	26.3	2.45
Iron extracted by DTPA (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	89	1.3
Zinc extracted by DTPA (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	3.47	1.71
Manganese extracted by DTPA (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	43.4	2.61
Copper extracted by DTPA (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	1.38	0.12
Organic Matter (%)	2.77	1.46
pH (relation 1:2 soil:water)	5.7	7.6
Electrical conductivity of saturation extract (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	0.73	2.24

The acidic soil is an Andosol of medium texture and bulk density of 1.36 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, of fertile condition with a medium organic matter content and without salinity problems. The calcareous soil is a Planosol of medium texture and bulk density of 1.16 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, of poor fertility condition, low content of organic matter, and slightly saline.

The Gigant cultivar was used from a mini tuber, it was planted in pots of 10 L with 6 kg of soil with drip irrigation. The fertilizer was applied at the time of planting and the weight of the soil was considered to calculate the doses in grams per pot, which were for T in the dose 140-400-200 (1,547, 1.24, and 1.03 g pot<sup>-1</sup>) as NA, DAP, SFT and SP; and the dose 70-400-100 (0.771, 1.748 and 0.517 g pot<sup>-1</sup>) in calcareous soils; in acidic soils was the dose 140-400-200 (1.319, 1.059 and 0.882 g pot<sup>-1</sup>) and the dose 70-400-100 (0.657, 1.491 and 0.441 g pot<sup>-1</sup>); with the same sources.

*In the FLL, for the dose 140-400-200 applied 3,979, 2,249, and 4,232 g pot<sup>-1</sup> was with the sources AUK, SFT and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and for the dose 70-400-100 it was 1,989, 2,249, and, 2,114 g pot<sup>-1</sup> in the calcareous soil; in acidic soil for dose 140-400-200 of 3,394, 1,918 and 3.61 g pot<sup>-1</sup> and for dose 70-400-100 of 1,697, 1,918 and 1,803 g pot<sup>-1</sup>, with the same sources, the doses were considered the weight of the soil and tripled this amount (Harraq et al., 2022) for greenhouse experiments and considering 6 kg of soil per pot. The irrigation was programmed with tensiometers when the soil moisture level reached 33 kPa; the rest of the management was adequate for potato cultivation, according to the technology generated by the National Institute of Forestry, Agricultural and Livestock Research (INIFAP), (Parga et al., 2005).*

## 2.2 Response variables

The quality of FLLs was determined according to the standards described by the European standardization committee (Shaviv, 2000); the water solubility was determined every 15 days in the soil solution by soil

<sup>1</sup> Bray <sup>2</sup> Olsen

tensiometer, after irrigation when the soil moisture level was at 20 kPa, the suction of the solution was made with soil solution access tube.

In the soil solution about 10 mL was obtained and salinity was determined as electrical conductivity (EC) in dS m<sup>-1</sup>, nitrates (N-NO<sub>3</sub>) in mg L<sup>-1</sup> and potassium (K) in mg L<sup>-1</sup> by the selective ion method (Horiba Ltd.); readings were taken at 10 s after placing the solution on the sensor (Alcantar *et al.*, 2016).

The crop response to the treatments under study was evaluated with the sampling of biomass production (MF) and dry matter (DM) production, extracting the whole plant at physiological maturity, the root and tuber were washed to remove the soil, the aerial part of the plant was distributed as foliage, the root as the underground part and the tuber as production, each part was weighed to obtain the MF and placed in perforated tin paper bags to be dried in an oven at 70 °C for 72 h to obtain the DM.

The crop response was determined as a response surface analyzing MF and DM with the experimental inductive model that consists of determining a quadratic model  $Y = a + bx + cx^2$  where Y is the response of crop and x the levels of N, with the GLM and RSREG declaration of the SAS 9.4 program and selected the best model with an error term of  $P \leq 0.05$  in the ANOVA and the highest coefficient of determination  $R^2$ , and obtaining the 1st derivative of Y with respect to x, to obtain the optimal physiological dose when the slope is zero (Navidi, 2011). The experimental inductive model was compared with the conceptual deductive method that consists of determining the absorption efficiency of N (EAN) as the relationship between the N content in the plant measured as total N by the Kjeldahl method, between the amount of fertilizer applied as N; the efficiency in use of N (EUtN) which is the relationship between DM and N content in the plant; efficiency in the use of N (EUN) as the ratio of DM between the amount of fertilizer applied as N and efficiency in the recovery of N (ERN) measured as N in the fertilized plant minus N in the plant without fertilization between the amount of fertilizer applied as N (Divya *et al.*, 2021).

Statistical analysis of treatments was performed with an error term with a probability of  $P \leq 0.05$  and the difference between treatments with Tukey (SAS 9.4, 2022).

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Quality

The quality of the FFL is described in Table 2, where the presentation of N with 9.1 % and none of the three sources of FLL presents it, AUK1 was lower by 0.14 %, AUK2 by 0.42%, and AUK3 was higher by 0.65%. The concentration of K as K<sub>2</sub>O with 9.8% with AUK1 was 1.06% lower, AUK2 was 0.51% lower and AUK3 was 0.13% higher. Table 2. Characterization of slow-release fertilizers

Characteristic				
Presentation:	9.1 - 00 - 8.2	as N - P - K	or 9.1 - 00 - 9.8	as N - P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> - K <sub>2</sub> O
	Fuente	N (%)	K (%)	K <sub>2</sub> O (%)
Concentration:	AUK1	8.96 ab	7.71 b	8.74 b
	AUK2	8.68 b	7.23 b	9.29 b
	AUK3	9.75 a	8.24 a	9.93 a
	a = Tukey 0.05			

Composition:	CO(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> +	KCl + CaSiO <sub>3</sub>		
Properties:	AUK1	AUK2	AU K3	
Type:	Clay with no effect on intercalation	Clay with intercalation by dry grinding	Clay with intercalation by absorption of solution	
Colour:	Pale Pink	Clear Coffee	Clear Coffee	
Form:	Cylindrical	Cylindrical	Cylindrical	
Humidity:	0.5 a 1.1 %			
Size:	2.3 x 0.45 cm.			
Hygroscopicity:	Minimum			
Chemical analysis: *	AUK1	AUK2	AUK3	
pH	7.86	7.9	7.91	
EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	6.4	5.8	18.2	
N-NO <sub>3</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	5400	6700	18000	
K (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	590	560	200	

\* Solution to the 5 % at 25 °C.

### 3.2 Water solubility

The water solubility of the sources and doses in both soils is shown in Table 3. The concentration of N-NO<sub>3</sub> for sources in calcareous soil is significantly different ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) and indicates that AUK3-140 exceeds all treatments and the control by 388%.

Table 3. Components of water solubility of traditional fertilizers and slow release under three doses in two types of soil with potato plants.

TREATMENT		----- Calcareous soil-----			----- Acid soil -----			
N-NO <sub>3</sub> §		K	E. C.	N-NO <sub>3</sub>	K	E. C.		
Source	Doses	(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	(dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	(dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	
Traditional	140-400-200	489 bc <sup>†</sup>	31 b	5.5 a	196 c	46 bc	3.3 b	
	70-400-100	409 bcd	18 b	4.7 abc	183 c	25 cd	3.2 b	
AUK1	140-400-200	267 cde	40 b	4.9 abc	296 bc	30 cd	4.7 a	
	70-400-100	233 de	34 b	4.7 abc	259 bc	13 d	4.4 a	
AUK2	140-400-200	421 bcd	29 b	5.3 ab	296 bc	82 a	4.3 a	
	70-400-100	280 bcde	15 b	4.6 abc	241 bc	28 cd	2.7 b	
767 a 166 a 433 bc 591 a 170 a 429 a 70-400-100 517 b 134 a 4.2 c 390 b 34 cd 4.1 a								
0	157 e	13 b	4.4 bc	159 c	12 d	1.5 c		
Control								

<sup>†</sup> Means of treatments followed by the same letters are statistically similar with Tukey ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).

The AUK3-140 treatment (3.97 g pot<sup>-1</sup>) presented the highest concentration with 767 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and is

statistically higher at  $250 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  compared to AUK3-70 ( $1.99 \text{ g pot}^{-1}$ ), this treatment is significantly similar to T140, T-70, AUK2-140, and AUK2-70; where the latter is equal to AUK1-140, AUK1-70 and the control without fertilization.

In the acidic soil, there was a highly significant difference ( $P \leq 0.01$ ), where the AUK3-140 treatment ( $3.39 \text{ g pot}^{-1}$ ), has the highest concentration with  $591 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  and was significantly higher in  $201 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  with AUK3-70 and the control in 271 %; AUK3-70 treatment is statistically similar to the other treatments.

The concentration of K in calcareous soils indicates a significant difference between treatments ( $P \leq 0.01$ ), AUK3-140 obtained the highest concentration with  $166 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  and is higher than the control by more than one thousand percent. The AUK3-140 treatment is statistically similar to AUK3-70 and these are significantly superior to the other treatments.




Figure 1. The nitrogen response function in two doses in potato plants established in acidic soil.

K levels in acidic soil showed a significant difference ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) in treatments, AUK2-140 presented the highest concentration with  $82 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  and was 583 % higher than the control and significantly similar to AUK3-140, which is similar to T-140 and which turn is similar with treatments T-70, AUK1-140, AUK2-70 and AUK3-70; AUK170 treatment is similar to the control treatment.

K levels with 20 to  $60 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  are adequate under the above conditions, only AUK2 at doses  $200 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  is higher than the level and AUK1 at doses  $100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ .

In the concentration of salts in calcareous soils was found a significant difference ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) in the treatments, T140 obtained the highest concentration of  $5.5 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$ , which is higher by 30% to AUK3-70 that presented the lowest value, the other treatments are statistically similar.


In acidic soils, a significant difference ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) was found in the treatments, where AUK1-140 presented the highest concentration of salts with  $4.7 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$  and is 213 % higher than the control; AUK1-140 is statistically similar to AUK1-70, AUK2-140, AUK3-140, and AUK3-70. The T-140, T-70, and AUK2-70 treatments are statistically similar, but lower than the previous treatments and superior to the control.

### 3.3 Experimental inductive method

The response in the production of MF and DM in the potato plant in acidic soil is shown (Figure 1), all responses are quadratic, significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), and with  $R^2$  greater than 0.93. In MF the lowest physiological optimal dose (DOF) to obtain the maximum yield of the potato crop corresponded to the source AUK1 with  $218 \text{ mg pta}^{-1}$ , followed by AUK3, AUK2, and T, with 280, 302, and  $321 \text{ mg pta}^{-1}$  respectively.

In the case of MS, AUK1 also has the lowest DOF with  $195 \text{ mg pta}^{-1}$  ( $88 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ ) and exceeds T, AUK3, and AUK2 that presented values of 269, 279 and  $329 \text{ mg pta}^{-1}$  in the same order as can be seen the value of  $329 \text{ mg pta}^{-1}$  of AUK2 in DM represents  $149 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  that exceeds all levels of DOF.

The response in potato cultivation in calcareous soil is shown in Figure 2, the behavior in the response is quadratic, significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), and with  $R^2$  greater than 0.79; DOF was obtained only in T with MF and DM, and AUK3 in DM the maximum performance was presented with 351, 503 and  $986 \text{ mg pta}^{-1}$  (136, 195 and  $381 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ ) respectively.








Figure 2. The nitrogen response function of fertilizer sources in potato plants established in calcareous soil In AUK2 in both MF and MS answers were found at least; for AUK1 in MF and DM, and AUK3 in MF, it was not possible to have the DOF, the lack of response may be due to the availability of N in the soil was not enough to supply the demand of the crop in the early stages, where at 60 DDE the demand already exceeded 70% of the total.

### 3.4 Conceptual deductive method

Table 4 shows the results of the deductive method for acid soil, there was a significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in all the variables that make up the deductive method.

In MS the AUK1-70 treatment with 24,940 mg pta<sup>-1</sup> had the highest production compared to the other treatments and exceeds the control by 93%, it is also statistically similar to T-140, which in turn, is statistically similar to AUK3-140 and T-70.

Table 4. The efficiency of N by potato cultivation developed in acidic soil.

Source	N applied mg pta <sup>-1</sup>	Dry Matter mg pta <sup>-1</sup>	Total N %	N total yield mg N pta <sup>-1</sup>	E Ut N mg mg <sup>-1</sup>	E AN mg mg <sup>-1</sup>	E UN mg mg <sup>-1</sup>	ERN %
T-140	309	23718 ab <sup>†</sup>	2.93 a	694 a	34.5 b	2.25 de	76.8 d	168.9 c
T-70	154	21905 bc	2.15 b	472 b	46.6 a	3.07 cd	142.2 b	194.6 bc
AUK1-140	309	21218 cd	3.34 a	707 a	30.1 b	2.29 de	68.7 de	173.0 c
AUK1-70	154	24940 a	2.76 ab	690 a	36.9 b	4.48 a	161.9 a	335.9 a
AUK2-140	309	19583 d	3.29 a	641 a	30.9 b	2.08 e	63.4 e	151.8 c
AUK2-70	154	17700 e	2.74 ab	484 b	36.5 b	3.15 bc	114.9 c	202.6 bc
AUK3-140	309	22585 bc	3.21 a	727 a	31.2 b	2.35 cde	73.1 d	179.5 c
AUK3-70	154	20738 cd	2.94 a	610 ab	34.3 b	3.96 ab	134.7 b	284.2 ab
Control	0	12893 f	1.33 c	172 c				

EUtN = Efficiency in the utilization of N

EAN= Absorption efficiency de N EUN = Efficiency in the use of N

ERN = Recovery efficiency de N

<sup>†</sup> Means of treatments followed by the same letters are statistically similar with Tukey ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).

The deductive method comprises the total N content, where T, AUK1, AUK2 AUK3 at dose 140 and AUK3-70, are significantly similar ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) and statistically exceed the control; in the total N yield where T, AUK1, AUK2, AUK3 at dose 140 and AUK1-70 are significantly similar ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) and statistically exceed the rest of treatments.

In EUtN, the T-70 treatment presents the highest value with 46.6 mg mg<sup>-1</sup> and statistically exceeds the rest of the treatments; in EAN the AUK1-70 treatment presented the greatest absorption, but statistically similar to AUK3-70, this indicates that the lower doses are more effective with FLL in acidic soils, a situation observed in EUN where AUK1-70 is significantly higher (161.9 mg mg<sup>-1</sup>) to the rest of the treatments and is also significantly higher in ERN, as well as AUK3-70, these results show that AUK1-70 is the best treatment in conductive and deductive methods in acidic soil.

In the calcareous soil, there were significant differences in all variables (Table 5), in DM the sources AUK2 and T at dose 140 are significantly higher ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) than the rest of the treatments.

Table 5. The efficiency of N by potato cultivation developed in a calcareous soil.

Source	N applied mg pta <sup>-1</sup>	Dry Matter mg pta <sup>-1</sup>	Total N %	N total yield mg N pta <sup>-1</sup>	E Ut N mg mg <sup>-1</sup>	E A N mg mg <sup>-1</sup>	E U N mg mg <sup>-1</sup>	E R N %
T-140	362	13855 a <sup>†</sup>	2.56 ab	355 ab	39.2 ab	0.98 ab	38.3 b	85.8 abc
T-70	181	10715 b	1.97 b	212 c	51.9 a	1.17 a	59.2 a	92.5 ab
AUK1-140	362	9985 b	3.05 a	305 b	32.9 b	0.84 ab	27.6 cd	72.0 abc
AUK1-70	181	7518 c	2.40 ab	181 c	42.1 ab	1.00 ab	41.5 b	75.7 abc
AUK2-140	362	13335 a	3.04 a	404 a	33.4 b	1.12 a	36.8 b	99.4 a
AUK2-70	181	6435 cd	2.25 b	147 c	45.2 ab	0.81 ab	35.6 b	56.7 bc
AUK3-140	362	7320 c	3.08 a	225 c	32.7 b	0.62 b	20.2 d	50.0 c
AUK3-70	181	6345 cd	2.62 ab	167 c	38.8 b	0.92 ab	35.1 bc	67.7 abc
Control	0	5123 d	0.87 c	44 d				

EUtN = Efficiency in utilization of N

EAN= Absorption efficiency de N

EUN = Efficiency in the use of N

ERN = Recovery efficiency de N

<sup>†</sup> Means of treatments followed by the same letters are statistically similar with Tukey ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).

Dose 140 had the highest total N content in treatments statistically exceeding dose 70, a situation that was not observed in total N performance where AUK2-140 had the highest with 404 mg N pta<sup>-1</sup>, and exceeded the control by 360 mg N pta<sup>-1</sup>, but similar to T-140, and this, in turn, is similar to AUK1-140, but the above are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) to the other treatments.

In EUtN, the T-70 source presented the highest use of N, but there is no significant difference with AUK1-70, AUK2-70, and T-140, the rest of the treatments are statistically different from T-70, but similar to the previous ones, so the difference is not contrasting. For the absorption of N measured as EAN, T-70 and AUK2-140 showed the highest significant efficiency, but they are statistically similar to the rest of the treatments, with the same trend that EutN presented. The T-70 treatment presented the highest EUN with 59.2 mg N mg<sup>-1</sup> and AUK2-140 the highest ERN with 99.4%, both significantly higher than the rest of the treatments, but the treatments tend to be statistically equal, so the difference is not conclusive.

#### 4. Discussion

##### 4.1 Quality

The composition of the FLL is a clay of the bentonite type, urea, and potassium chloride, in the properties of the type indicate that the intercalation by absorption of the solution presented greater concentration in the three sources, the color and cylindrical shape of the sources are similar, and their size indicates a greater volume of the particles compared to traditional fertilizers, therefore, it can affect its application in current agricultural fertilizers (Parga *et al.*, 2005).

The chemical analysis of the solubilized sources in 5% deionized water indicates an alkaline pH and high concentration of salts for the AUK3 source, the levels of nitrates and potassium measured with the selective ion showed the AUK3 source, with the highest concentration of nitrates, but lower potassium, reverse situation for the other sources (Jungsinyatam *et al.*, 2022).

##### 4.2 Water solubility

The levels of N-NO<sup>3</sup> found in the treatments in calcareous soils are higher than those of acidic soil, in addition, all treatments of sources in the dose of 140 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> is greater than the dose of 70 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> evaluated; a concentration of 280 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of N-NO<sub>3</sub> in the soil solution 50 days after emergence (dae) is adequate in the period of greater absorption of N by the potato crop, the concentration is reduced 160 mg L<sup>-1</sup> to 120 dae because the absorption of the crop is lower and the residual N in the soil is high (Soratto *et al.*, 2022; Arteaga *et al.*, 2022), other authors indicate a concentration of 50 to 70 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in N-NO<sub>3</sub> lower than the previous ones but adequate during the beginning of the second stage of growth of most crops (Yadav *et al.*, 2022; Xing *et al.*, 2022).

The proportion of N-NO<sub>3</sub> and K changes during crop development depending on the uptake of these and the interactions between the elements that make up the nutrient solution in the soil (Sha *et al.*, 2021), an adequate K content in the solution is 200 to 400 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (Zhang *et al.*, 2022).

The ratio of N-NO<sub>3</sub> and K changes during crop development depending on the uptake of these and the interactions between the elements that make up the nutrient solution in the soil (Sha *et al.*, 2021), an adequate K content in the solution is 200 to 400 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (Zhang *et al.*, 2022).

The maximum salinity level in the soil solution for potato cultivation is 1.7 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and presents a yield reduction of 12% for each unit of increase, these values are obtained from the soil saturation extract (Ahmed *et al.*, 2020). A nutrient solution must have a value of 3.5 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and less than 200 mM of NaCl (Judesse *et al.*, 2022) and in calcareous soil, all treatments have a value higher than this, so a reduction in yield is expected, instead in acidic soils only AUK1 and AUK3 in its two doses and AUK2 in the dose of 140, are above the limit.

##### 4.3 Experimental inductive method

The production of MF and DM in potato cultivation in calcareous soil is lower compared to acidic soil, the lack of a maximum response in the sources of FLLs is because N is urea and its negative effect may be for the following reasons (Vos, 2009; Havlin *et al.*, 2017 and Yu *et al.*, 2022):

- Urea increases alkalinity in the calcareous soil because urea when passing from amide to ammonium carbonate alkalizes the medium.
- The presence of urease in calcareous soils is low due to the smaller population of microorganisms that contain it.
- Higher concentration of free NH<sub>3</sub> in an alkaline medium that inhibits enzymatic activity in the rhizosphere.
- In an alkaline pH (>7.5) urease inhibition occurs because the pH in the immediate vicinity of the FLL urea source can reach values of 9.0.

#### 4.4 Conceptual deductive method

The EUTN values observed in the two soils (30.1 to 51.9 mg DM mg<sup>-1</sup> N) are within the ranges observed in potato cultivation developed in potato (Koch *et al.*, 2020), in addition, the highest value of EAN (4.48 mg mg<sup>-1</sup> in AUK1-70) are values that overestimate the EAN, which for potato cultivation, that is between 0.05 and 0.67 mg mg<sup>-1</sup>, however, the values of EUN that varies from 20.2 to 161.9 mg mg<sup>-1</sup>, are higher with respect to values of 2 to 28 mg mg<sup>-1</sup> obtained with 225 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in potato, the highest values are observed in acidic soils; and the ERN obtained (from 50 to 335.9%) is high compared to the fertilized crop with 225 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (Naumann *et al.*, 2020), which indicates an overestimation in the recovery of the doses.

The above concepts indicate that the efficiency of nitrogen fertilization is lower in calcareous soils than acidic soils, AUK1-70 in acidic soils has the best response to N, and in calcareous soils, the T source has the greatest response and may be due to its greater release in the early stages (Zhang *et al.*, 2022), but AUK3 has the highest concentration of NO<sub>3</sub> in the soil and the highest EAN and ERN, which requires a greater precision of analysis in calcareous soils.

#### 5. Conclusions

In quality, slow-release fertilizers are within standardization, only their greater volume of particles compared to traditional fertilizers can affect their application in current agricultural fertilizers. N-NO<sub>3</sub> and K are released in greater proportion in less time. The water solubility showed that the source AUK3 presented the highest values of N-NO<sub>3</sub>, K and EC, and they were higher in the calcareous soil. The highest yield response corresponded to the AUK1 source with the lowest dose of N in acidic soils. In calcareous soils, no quadratic response was obtained in all treatments. In the efficiency of N, AUK1-70 showed the highest or one of the highest efficiencies of this element in acidic soil. In the calcareous soil, no consistency was achieved in the treatments for the efficiency of N in the crop. These slow-release fertilizers are experimental and require an analysis such as the one performed to demonstrate their quality and efficiency.

#### Acknowledgments

To the National Council of Science and Technology (CONACYT), project 38999 financed this study.

#### References

- Ahmed A., H. A., Şahin N. K., Güray Akdoğan G., Yaman C., Deniz Köm D. & Uranbey S. (2020). Variability in salinity stress tolerance of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) varieties using in vitro screening. *Ciência e Agrotecnologia*, 44:e004220. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1413-7054202044004220>.
- Alcántar G., G., Trejo T., L. & Gómez M., F. C. 2016. Nutrición de cultivos (2ª Ed.) Biblioteca Básica de la Agricultura. Montecillo, Texcoco, Edo. de México. 454 p. (Chapter 10).
- Arteaga Ch., G. A., Ortiz C., R. S. & Cartagena A., Y E. (2022) Dinámica de la absorción de nutrimentos en el cultivo de papa (*Solanum tuberosum*) variedad Superchola, para la producción de semilla prebásica. *Siembra* 9(2) | e3481. <https://doi.org/10.29166/siembra.v9i2.3481>.
- Castellanos, J. Z. y J. J. Peña-Cabriales. 1990. Los nitratos provenientes de la agricultura. Una fuente de contaminación de los acuíferos. *Terra* 8:113-126.

- Castellanos, J.Z, A. Ortega-Guerrero, O.A. Grajeda, A. Vázquez-Alarcón, S. Villalobos, J.J. Muñoz-Ramos, B. Zamudio, J.G. Martínez, B. Hurtado, P. Vargas, y S.A. Enríquez. 2001. Cambios en la Calidad del Agua Subterránea para Uso Agrícola en Guanajuato. Terra. 20: 161-170.
- Chen, K., Yu, S., Ma, T.; Ding, J., He, P., Li, Y., Dai, Y. & Zeng, G. (2022). Modeling the Water and Nitrogen Management Practices in Paddy Fields with HYDRUS-1D. Agriculture 12, 924. 18 p. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12070924>.
- Covarrubias R., J. M. y Contreras R., F. J. 1997. Influencia de la calidad del agua en la fertirrigación. En: Informe de Investigación 1997. CESAL-INIFAP. Saltillo, Coah. pp. 33-44.
- Divya K. L., Mhatre P. H., Venkatasalam E. P. & Sudha R. 2021. Crop simulation models as decision-supporting tools for sustainable potato production: a Review. Potato Research 64:387–419. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11540-020-09483-9>.
- International Fertilizer Association (IFA) (2022). Databases and Charts. [Online] Available: <https://www.ifastat.org/databases/supply-trade> (June 22, 2022)
- Harraq, A., Sadiki, K., Bouriou, M. & Bouabid R. (2022). Organic fertilizers mineralization and their effect on the potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) performance in organic farming. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences 21:255–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2021.09.003>.
- Havlin J.L., S. L. Tisdale W.L. Nelson & J.D. Beaton. 2017. Soil fertility and fertilizers. 8th ed. Pearson India Education Services Pvt. Ltd. Uttar Pradesh, India. 520 p.
- Jiajun L., Mingyang Ch., Chao Z., Bin L., Hehuan P., Yongjian Z., Qianjun S. & Muhammad H. (2022). Application of lignin in preparation of slow-release fertilizer: Current status and future perspectives. Industrial Crops & Products 176,114267, 13 p. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.114267>.
- Judesse S., D. R., Liu Y., Pan R., Abou-Elwafa, S. F., Rao, P., Abel S., Wen-Ying Zhang W. Y. & Yang X. S. (2022). Role of sweet potato GST genes in abiotic stress tolerance revealed by genomic and transcriptomic analyses. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology - 22(1): e36852212. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1984-70332022v22n1a02>.
- Jungsinyatam P., Suwanakood P. & Saengsuwan S. (2022). Multicomponent biodegradable hydrogels based on natural biopolymers as environmentally coating membrane for slow-release fertilizers: Effect of crosslinker type. Science of the Total Environment 843, 157050. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157050>.
- Koch M., Naumann M., Pawelzik E., Gransee A., & Thiel H. 2020. The Importance of Nutrient Management for Potato Production Part I: Plant Nutrition and Yield. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11540-019-09431-2>.

- Lantao Li., Chang L., Ji Y., Qin D., Fu S., Fan X., Guo Y., Shi W., Geng S. & Wang. Y. (2022). Quantification and dynamic monitoring of nitrogen utilization efficiency in summer maize with hyperspectral technique considering a non-uniform vertical distribution at whole growth stage. *Field Crops Research* 281, 108490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2022.108490>.
- Navidi, W. C. (2011). *Statistics for engineers and scientists*. (3rd ed.) The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., (Chapter 8).
- Naumann M., Koch M., Thiel H., Gransee A., & Pawelzik E. 2020. The Importance of Nutrient Management for Potato Production Part II: Plant Nutrition and Tuber Quality. *Potato Research* 63:121–137 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11540-019-09430-3>.
- Koch M., Thiel H., Gransee A., & Pawelzik E. 2020. The Importance of Nutrient Management for Potato Production Part II: Plant Nutrition and Tuber Quality. *Potato Research* 63:121–137 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11540-019-09430-3>.
- Núñez E., R. 2001. *Tecnología y uso de fertilizantes. Área de Fertilidad de suelos. Especialidad de Edafología. IRENAT-CP. Montecillos, México.* 120 p.
- Parga T., V. M.; García G., S. J.; Villavicencio G., E. E.; Sánchez S., J. A.; Sánchez V., I.; Contreras de la R., F. J.; Arellano G., M. A.; Covarrubias-Ramírez, J. M.; Rubio C., O. A. y J. Fernández E. 2005. *Tecnología para producir papa en Coahuila y Nuevo León. INIFAP-CIRNE. Campo Experimental “Saltillo”. Folleto Técnico Núm. 5. Coahuila, México.* 164 p.
- Statically Analysis System (SAS). 2022. Software 9.4 (TS1M7). SAS Institute Inc. Cary, N.C. USA.
- Sha S., Zhao X., Li Y., Li Ch., Zhu L., Wang Y. & Gao Q. (2021). Nutrient expert system optimizes fertilizer management to improve potato productivity and tuber quality. *J Sci Food Agric*. 102: 1233–1244. DOI 10.1002/jsfa.11461.
- Shaviv, A. 2000. Advances in controlled release fertilizers. *Advances in Agronomy*. 71:1-49.
- Soratto R. P., Sandaña P., Fernandes F. M., Fernandes A. M., Makowski D., Ciampittie I. A. (2022). Establishing a critical nitrogen dilution curve for estimating nitrogen nutrition index of potato crops in tropical environments. *Field Crops Research* 286, 108605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2022.108605>.
- Vos J. (2009). Nitrogen Responses and Nitrogen Management in Potato. *Potato Research* 52:305–317. DOI 10.1007/s11540-009-9145-2.
- Xing Y., Zhang T., Jiang W., Li P., Shi P., Xu G., Cheng S., Cheng Y., Fan Zhanga F. & Wang X. (2022). Effects of irrigation and fertilization on different potato varieties growth, yield, and resources use efficiency in the Northwest China. *Agricultural Water Management* 261, 107351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2021.107351>.

- Yadav R., Panghal V.P.S., Duhan D.S. & Bhuker, A. (2022). Investigation of Nitrogen Effects on Growth and Yield of Two Potato Cultivars in Northern Plains of India. *Potato Research* 65:853–861. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11540-022-09551-2>.
- Yingying Z., Weijin W. & Huaiying Y. (2022). Urea-based nitrogen fertilization in agriculture: a key source of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and recent development in mitigating strategies. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*. 16 p. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340.2022.2025588>© 2022 Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.
- Yu Y., Jiao Y., Yang W., Song C., Zhang J. & Liu Y. 2022. Mechanisms underlying nitrous oxide emissions and nitrogen leaching from potato fields under drip irrigation and furrow irrigation. *Agricultural Water Management* 260,107270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2021.107270>.
- Zhang S., Fan J., Zhang F., Wang H., Yang L., Sun X., Cheng M., Cheng H. & Li Z. (2022). Optimizing irrigation amount and potassium rate to simultaneously improve tuber yield, water productivity, and plant potassium accumulation of drip-fertigated potato in northwest China. *Agricultural Water Management* 264, 107493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2022.107493>.
- Zhang H., Liu X., Nie B., Song B., Du P., Liu S., Li L. & Zhao Z. (2022). Nitrogen management can inhibit or induce the sprouting of potato tubers: Consequences of regulation tuberization. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 183, 111722. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2021.111722>.