

Original Article

ERROR PATTERNS IN ALGEBRA: A STUDY OF STUDENT MISCONCEPTIONS AND DIAGNOSTIC TOOLS

Fatima A. Abdirahman

School of Curriculum and Instruction,
College Of Education, Addis Ababa
University, Ethiopia
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16531546>

Abstract: This study explores the persistent challenges students face in learning errors observed in secondary education

Keywords: Algebraic Misconceptions, Algebra Learning Challenges, Secondary Mathematics Education, Error Analysis in Algebra, Conceptual and Procedural

INTRODUCTION

Significant challenges for students, many of whom Knowledge in Algebra Struggle to develop both procedural fluency and Algebra is a critical subject in secondary mathematics education, serving as a foundation for more advanced topics in mathematics and many fields of study. However, it is a subject in which students commonly encounter difficulties, particularly with procedural and conceptual errors. This study explores the theoretical work on algebra instruction, categorizes errors in algebra, presents global research findings on these errors and offers a critical discussion of the work done by scholars in the field. Algebra is a foundational component of secondary mathematics education, serving as a bridge between arithmetic and more advanced mathematical concepts. Its mastery is crucial not only for further study in mathematics but also for its wide applicability in various disciplines, including science, engineering, economics and technology. However, learning algebra poses conceptual understanding. Understanding these challenges and providing effective instruction is a central concern of mathematics education research. Recent research on algebraic errors among high school students emphasizes a variety of misconceptions and their impacts on learning and problem-solving (Ng and Lee, 2019; Ryan and Williams, 2022). Nesher and Katriel (2020); Sarımanoğlu (2019) explored the influence of algebraic problem-solving errors on students' proficiency, highlighting the role of misconceptions and faulty strategies in hindering success. Melhuish *et al.* (2022) introduced frameworks, such as the Authentic Mathematical Proof Activity, to examine students' reasoning processes and the relationship between conceptual and procedural knowledge in algebra learning. Malahlela (2017) analyzed unpreparedness errors in algebra, linking them to gaps in foundational mathematical knowledge and proposing instructional strategies to address these issues. Chirume (2017) focused on precision errors in algebraic manipulation, demonstrating their impact on students' performance and advocating for targeted interventions to reduce such errors. Wardani *et al.* (2020) studied the connections between motivational factors, misconceptions, and errors, suggesting that improving student engagement can significantly reduce mistakes in algebra. These studies collectively underscore the need for focused interventions and teaching practices to address the roots of algebraic errors effectively. The theoretical frameworks that guide algebra teaching have evolved significantly over the past several decades, shaped by contributions from cognitive psychology, educational theory and mathematics education. These frameworks seek to explain how students learn algebra, why they make errors and how teaching strategies can be adapted to address these issues. Early research focused on the cognitive and developmental aspects of algebra learning, often emphasizing the importance of transitioning from concrete arithmetic operations to abstract algebraic thinking (Piaget, 1970; Vygotsky, 1978). These early theories highlighted the cognitive load involved in understanding algebraic symbols, variables and operations and emphasized the need for scaffolding to support students' learning. In the 1980s and 1990s, researchers such as (Kieran 1992; Sfard, 1991) brought attention to the distinction between conceptual understanding and procedural

Original Article

fluency. Kieran (1981) work underscored the importance of students developing a deep understanding of algebraic concepts rather than relying solely on procedural rules. This view is echoed in Sfard (1991) dual nature of mathematical conceptions, where she posits that students must transition from viewing algebraic symbols as mere procedures to understanding them as representations of mathematical ideas. This shift in perspective has influenced the way algebra is taught today, with many curricula now emphasizing conceptual understanding alongside procedural practice. In the 2000s, the theoretical landscape expanded to incorporate the role of cognitive load and the potential of technology in algebra instruction. Researchers like Sweller (1988) introduced Cognitive Load Theory, which suggests that the working memory capacity required for solving algebraic problems can be a significant barrier for learners. Sweller's theory advocates for minimizing unnecessary cognitive load through carefully designed instruction. Concurrently, Kaput (2008) explored the role of technology in algebra learning, arguing that technology tools such as graphing calculators and dynamic geometry software can help make abstract concepts more tangible, thus easing cognitive load and enhancing student understanding. More recent theoretical contributions have focused on how algebra instruction can be adapted to address the diverse needs of learners. Hiebert and Lefevre (1986) emphasized the need for students to develop flexible and adaptive strategies for solving algebraic problems rather than relying on rote memorization of procedures. Booth *et al.* (2019) furthered this idea by exploring how algebraic reasoning can be cultivated through error analysis and guided practice. They argue that making errors a part of the learning process can help students refine their understanding of algebraic principles and develop more robust problem-solving strategies. This study critically explores the theoretical frameworks that have shaped the study and teaching of algebra, thus providing a foundation for understanding the nature of algebraic errors and the strategies used to address them. The subsequent sections delve into the nature of specific error types observed in algebra instruction, comparing them with the theoretical work discussed to contextualize the errors within broader educational theories and cognitive models. With this in mind, the gap in the literature is identified and important teaching takeaways are suggested for algebra teaching.

Theoretical Work on Algebra Teaching

Algebra instruction has been studied extensively, with researchers focusing on various aspects of the teaching process, including cognitive challenges, curriculum design and student misconceptions. A significant area of study in algebra teaching is the distinction between conceptual understanding and procedural fluency. According to (Kieran, 1981; 1992), a central difficulty in algebra teaching is the tension between teaching the rules of algebra (procedural knowledge) and fostering deep conceptual understanding. Kieran's work emphasizes that algebra should not only be seen as a set of rules to be memorized, but as a set of concepts that students must understand deeply to use in various contexts. Booth *et al.* (2019) expanded on this by examining how students develop algebraic reasoning. They emphasized the importance of error analysis and guided practice in helping students move from conceptual understanding to procedural fluency. Their research indicated that structured feedback is essential for correcting misunderstandings in algebra, as students often misapply rules without fully understanding the underlying concepts. Kaput (2008); Hiebert and Lefevre (1986) argued that teaching algebra requires careful attention to the developmental stages of students' understanding. Kaput (2008) proposed that algebra should be introduced as a process of generalization rather than as a collection of isolated facts. He advocates for incorporating technology and manipulatives to make abstract algebraic concepts more accessible. Hiebert and Lefevre's research showed that students tend to struggle with the abstraction in algebra, often resorting to rote memorization instead of developing a true understanding of the relationships between algebraic expressions. The work of Kieran, Booth and Koedinger, Kaput and Hiebert and Lefevre offers valuable insights into the complexities of teaching algebra. However, while their contributions are foundational, they often fall short in addressing the specific errors students make during instruction. Although they emphasize the importance of conceptual understanding, there is less attention given to the practical methods teachers can use to help students overcome specific errors in algebraic thinking. While Booth *et al.* (2019) point out the benefits of error analysis,

Original Article

they do not fully explore the nature of errors themselves or the reasons students make them. Error correction is a key element of teaching algebra, yet there remains a gap in providing teachers with clear frameworks for identifying and addressing these errors. Further, Kaput's emphasis on generalization and the use of technology is forward-thinking but does not sufficiently consider how these methods are best implemented in diverse educational contexts.

Types of Errors in Algebra

The identification and classification of errors in algebra have been a focal point of numerous studies. Kieran (1981) identified a broad category of errors related to the misuse of algebraic notation, where students fail to recognize the symbolic nature of algebraic expressions. These errors often include misunderstanding the equals sign or misinterpreting variables. Linchevski and Kutscher (2018) noted that these errors are often rooted in the abstract nature of algebra and the transition from arithmetic to algebraic thinking. They argued that students' previous experiences with arithmetic operations often shape their misconceptions about algebra. Richland *et al.* (2012) explored the cognitive factors behind errors, particularly the challenges students' face when applying algebraic principles to problem-solving. Their research focused on "transfer errors," where students mistakenly apply rules from one context to another (e.g., using arithmetic rules for algebraic expressions). Research by Star and Rittle-Johnson (2017) highlighted the importance of understanding algebraic notation and the role of variables in preventing errors. They found that students often misinterpret variables as "unknowns" rather than as placeholders for numbers, leading to significant errors in solving algebraic equations. The work of Kieran, Sfard, Richland and Star provides a comprehensive view of the types of errors students make, particularly regarding algebraic notation and variable manipulation. However, a critical gap in this research is the lack of attention to the role of instruction in preventing these errors. While the researchers discuss the cognitive factors that contribute to errors, they offer limited solutions on how to address these issues in classroom practice. Linchevski and Kutscher (2018) work on the reification of algebraic concepts is a valuable theoretical framework, but it does not fully account for the diverse educational environments in which these concepts are taught. For example, while the transition from arithmetic to algebra is a key challenge, the researchers fail to provide concrete strategies for teachers to bridge this gap in varied educational settings. Richland *et al.* (2012) focus on transfer errors, but their work does not delve deeply into how teachers can identify these errors in real-time during lessons. While foundational studies have significantly advanced our understanding of algebraic thinking, recent research has sought to address the gaps in effective instructional strategies and frameworks for developing students' algebraic reasoning. This discussion evaluates recent literature across various approaches, including early algebra intervention, the role of technology, metacognition and cross-cultural studies.

Metacognition and Algebraic Problem Solving

Research on metacognition in algebra instruction emphasizes the importance of fostering students' selfregulatory skills in solving algebraic problems. Jitendra *et al.* (2015) investigated the impact of teaching metacognitive strategies on algebra performance and found that students who practiced self-monitoring and self-evaluation were more successful in identifying and correcting their own errors. Star and Rittle-Johnson (2017) further explored this area, showing that metacognitive interventions can support flexible problem-solving, a skill critical for algebraic reasoning. While these studies underscore the potential benefits of metacognitive strategies in algebra instruction, they also suggest that metacognition is rarely integrated into standard algebra curricula, representing a missed opportunity to address persistent misconceptions and errors in algebra. A key focus of recent algebra research has been the identification and understanding of common errors and misconceptions that hinder students' algebraic learning. Booth *et al.* (2019) conducted a longitudinal study examining typical algebraic misconceptions, such as misunderstandings of the equal sign and variable misuse. Their findings suggest that many students retain incorrect ideas about algebraic principles even after years of instruction, indicating a need

Original Article

for more targeted interventions. Küchemann (2010) identified several common errors among secondary students, including variable confusion, incorrect operations and difficulty in grasping the abstract nature of algebraic expressions. These errors have been confirmed in various studies around the world, including research by Radford (2014), who observed similar trends in Canadian classrooms. Radford's study highlighted that students often treat algebraic symbols as objects rather than understanding their functional relationships, underscoring the need for instructional strategies that bridge concrete and abstract thinking. Despite the valuable insights offered by recent studies, there remains a conspicuous gap in the literature on effective, scalable frameworks for teaching algebraic thinking that can be applied across diverse educational contexts. While studies continue to illuminate specific challenges, such as early intervention, the role of culture and the integration of technology, a unified instructional approach that synthesizes these elements is still lacking. Furthermore, while recent research has explored the benefits of technology, metacognition and cross-cultural differences, these insights are not yet widely implemented in classrooms, partly due to the varied demands of educational systems and limited professional development opportunities for teachers. Considering these gaps, future research should prioritize the development of comprehensive frameworks that address the cognitive, technological and cultural dimensions of algebra instruction. Additionally, longitudinal studies that track the long-term effectiveness of early algebra interventions and technology-based tools could yield valuable insights into their scalability and adaptability. By focusing on these areas, the field of algebra education can move closer to resolving the persistent challenges that have hindered student achievement in algebraic thinking globally. While much progress has been made in understanding how students learn algebra and the errors they commonly make, gaps remain in the research, particularly concerning effective strategies for addressing these challenges in modern classroom settings. For example, there is limited empirical research on interventions that can be universally applied across diverse educational contexts to reduce common algebraic errors. Additionally, although technology has shown promise in supporting algebra learning, there is still a need for research on how technology can be effectively integrated into algebra instruction in ways that are accessible, scalable and culturally responsive. Furthermore, despite a growing focus on early algebraic thinking, many elementary and middle school teachers lack the necessary training to incorporate algebraic concepts effectively, pointing to a need for professional development programs. Finally, there is a lack of studies examining the role of metacognition and self-regulation in helping students manage the cognitive demands of algebra, particularly for those who experience persistent difficulties. While foundational theories and recent studies have shed light on the complexities of algebra instruction, there remains a critical need for continued research on instructional methods, teacher training and curriculum design. Future research should explore innovative approaches to reduce algebraic errors, emphasize flexible problem-solving skills and promote early algebraic reasoning, all of which are crucial for preparing students to succeed in algebra and beyond.

Errors in Algebra Teaching

Studies from around the world have documented a variety of common errors in algebra. For example, Goos (2004) conducted research on algebra instruction in Australia and found that students commonly struggle with the concept of the distributive property, often incorrectly simplifying expressions such as $3(x + 2)$. This is consistent with findings from research in other countries, such as Piaget (1970) work, which showed that developmental stages influence students' ability to understand abstract algebraic operations. Research from Europe has highlighted similar issues, particularly with students misunderstanding the "equals" sign. As noted by Hiebert and Lefevre (1986), students in both the United States and Europe often fail to see the equals sign as a symbol of equivalence, interpreting it instead as an operator. This misconception is one of the most frequently observed errors in algebra classrooms globally. The global nature of these errors highlights the widespread challenges that students face in learning algebra, regardless of the educational system. While the research from Goos (2004); Hiebert and Lefevre (1986) identifies critical misconceptions, the research does not address the broader educational context in which these errors occur. For instance, educational systems may vary in their approach to

Original Article

teaching algebra, with some countries emphasizing conceptual understanding and others focusing on procedural fluency. This discrepancy can lead to different patterns of error across countries. Furthermore, the global studies often fail to investigate how different teaching strategies can mitigate these errors. For example, the use of manipulatives and visual aids, which is emphasized in some educational systems, could be a potential solution to the misconceptions identified by Goos (2004) and others. However, these approaches are not always discussed in the global literature on algebraic errors.

Early Algebra Teaching

The need for early algebraic thinking is emphasized in contemporary studies, which suggest that introducing algebra concepts in elementary grades can support the transition to formal algebra in later years. Jinfa and Knuth (2011) examined early algebra interventions and found that consistent exposure to algebraic ideas, such as patterns and functions, fosters a smoother shift from arithmetic to algebra. This aligns with the findings of Blanton *et al.* (2015), who observed that young students can understand algebraic expressions and relationships when exposed through developmentally appropriate tasks. Such studies indicate the importance of revising curricula to include algebraic thinking from an early age. Warren, Cooper and their colleagues (2020) further demonstrated in an Australian context that early exposure to algebraic reasoning not only boosts algebra proficiency but also enhances students' general mathematical thinking abilities. These studies contribute to a body of evidence suggesting that early exposure to algebraic thinking may be a crucial step in addressing the difficulties students often face with algebraic concepts in high school. Cross-national studies on algebraic learning have highlighted the influence of cultural differences on students' approach to algebra. In an international comparative study, Cai *et al.* (2018) found that East Asian students often excel in algebraic procedures due to a heavy emphasis on practice and procedural fluency. However, this procedural focus can sometimes come at the expense of deep conceptual understanding, a point emphasized by Lin and Yang (2019) in their analysis of Taiwanese students. These findings resonate with results from Western studies, such as Rittle-Johnson and Schneider's (2015) work, which advocates for balanced instructional approaches that cultivate both procedural fluency and conceptual understanding. The implications of these cross-cultural studies suggest that effective algebra instruction might need to account for cultural differences in students' educational experiences and attitudes toward mathematics.

The integration of technology in algebra instruction has been an area of focus in recent years, with research showing promising results in improving student engagement and comprehension. Kieran and Guzmán (2016) studied dynamic algebra software, revealing its potential to enhance students' grasp of abstract concepts, such as variables and functions. Likewise, Drijvers and Weigand (2019) discussed the use of digital tools in algebraic modelling, noting that interactive technologies can make abstract algebra concepts more concrete and accessible for students. However, while these studies underline the potential of technology, they also caution that effective implementation depends on teacher proficiency and adequate training - factors that are often lacking in many educational settings globally. In addition, a study by D'Ambrosio and Lynch-Davis. (2020) examined the role of online learning platforms in algebra instruction, which is particularly relevant in the era of remote learning. They found that while online platforms can support procedural practice and allow for immediate feedback, they are less effective at fostering deep, relational understanding. This limitation indicates a need for more adaptive technologies that facilitate exploratory and inquiry-based learning in algebra, as opposed to rote procedural training.

Theoretical Frameworks in Algebra Education

To effectively understand and mitigate errors in algebra, researchers rely on various theoretical perspectives that inform how algebra should be taught and how students learn the subject.

Constructivist Theory

Original Article

Constructivist theory, notably influenced by Piaget and Vygotsky, suggests that learning is an active process where students build new knowledge by connecting it to prior knowledge and experiences (Piaget, 1970). In algebra, students are expected to transition from arithmetic to abstract thinking, which requires a shift from concrete numbers to symbolic representations (Linchevski and Kutscher (2018) Students often struggle with this transition because they may not fully understand the concept of variables or the rules governing operations on these symbols (Kieran, 1992). A common error related to constructivist theory is students interpreting variables as fixed values rather than symbols that can represent any number. For example, when solving $x + 3 = 7$, students might replace x with a specific number rather than isolating the variable to find its value (Booth *et al.*, 2014).

Cognitive Load Theory

Cognitive Load Theory (CLT) emphasizes that working memory has a limited capacity, which can be overloaded in tasks requiring the manipulation of multiple symbols, steps and rules, as in algebra (Sweller, 1988). In algebraic problems, especially those involving multiple operations and transformations, students' working memory can be overwhelmed, leading to procedural and operational errors.

Example of error: In solving multi-step problems like $3(x + 4) - 2x = 10$, students may lose track of steps or improperly apply operations due to cognitive overload, leading to errors like distributing 3 incorrectly as $3x + 4$ rather than $3x + 12$ (Kirschner *et al.*, 2006).

Sociocultural Theory and Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD)

Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory and the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) emphasize the role of social interaction in learning. Vygotsky (1978) argued that students can reach higher levels of understanding with support from a teacher or peer within their ZPD. In algebra, this means students may initially require guided practice with new concepts to avoid errors due to unassimilated knowledge. In cases where students work independently on unfamiliar algebraic problems, they may struggle to apply learned techniques and make errors. For instance, they might fail to correctly factor quadratic expressions without guidance, leading to errors like factoring $x^2 + 5x + 6$ as $(x + 3)(x + 3)$ instead of $(x + 2)(x + 3)$ (Goos, 2004).

Symbolic and Procedural Knowledge

Symbolic and procedural knowledge are crucial in algebra learning. Hiebert and Lefevre (1986) noted that students often acquire procedural knowledge (performing algebraic operations) without developing the corresponding conceptual understanding. This imbalance can result in students using rules inappropriately in new contexts. Students may correctly apply procedures but make errors when they lack conceptual understanding. For instance, they might simplify $x/x = 1$ but incorrectly generalize this to $0/x = 1$, not recognizing that division by zero is undefined (Booth *et al.*, 2019). A wide range of errors have been documented in algebra instruction worldwide. These errors are often categorized as conceptual, procedural, operational, or transfer-related, reflecting distinct areas where students struggle.

Conceptual Errors

Conceptual errors arise when students misunderstand the underlying principles of algebra. Studies have shown that these errors often stem from misconceptions about the nature of variables, operations, or algebraic expressions (Booth *et al.*, 2014). Misinterpretation of Variables: Students may treat variables as fixed values rather than symbols that can vary. For example, they may believe that x in one problem must have the same value in another (Stacey and MacGregor, 2000). Equals sign misconception: Students frequently misinterpret the equals sign as a directive to perform a calculation rather than a symbol indicating equality. This leads to errors like solving $3x + 4 = 16$ by calculating $3x + 4$ as an expression without isolating x (Kieran, 1981).

Procedural Errors

Original Article

Procedural errors involve mistakes in the application of algebraic rules and techniques, often due to incomplete procedural knowledge or faulty memory (Star, 2005). Incorrect distribution: Students may expand $(x + 2)^2$ as $x^2 + 4$ rather than $x^2 + 4x + 4$ (Booth *et al.*, 2014). Sign errors: When solving $-3x + 6 = 9$, students may incorrectly manipulate signs, leading to results like $3x + 6 = 9$ (Star and Rittle-Johnson, 2017).

Operational and Symbolic Errors

Operational errors result from confusion with the symbols and structure of algebraic notation, often exacerbated by insufficient familiarity with symbolic representations. Combining Like Terms Incorrectly: Students may treat unlike terms as like terms, such as simplifying $2x + 3y = 5xy$ instead of recognizing that they are non-combinable (Hiebert and Lefevre, 1986). Misinterpretation of Fractions: Students may simplify $(x + 2)/x$ as $x + 2/x = 1 + 2/x$, failing to understand the correct rules of fraction simplification (Kieran, 1992).

Transfer Errors

Transfer errors occur when students fail to apply learned knowledge to new contexts, often due to rigid understanding or insufficient conceptual flexibility (Richland *et al.*, 2012). Word Problem Translation: Students often have difficulty translating word problems into algebraic expressions, such as misinterpreting "three times the sum of a number and two" as $3x + 2$ instead of $3(x + 2)$ (Booth *et al.*, 2019).

Addressing Algebra Errors in Instruction

Research suggests that effective instructional strategies can mitigate common algebra errors by fostering both procedural and conceptual understanding (Booth *et al.*, 2019). Error analysis, scaffolded instruction and use of multiple representations are particularly effective (Hiebert and Lefevre, 1986). Also through error analysis exercises students can learn to analyse their mistakes to understand misconceptions, such as identifying why $(x + y)^2$ is incorrectly expanded to $x^2 + y^2$ (Booth *et al.*, 2019). Similarly, scaffolded problemsolving breaks down multi-step problems into simpler tasks supports cognitive load management and reduces errors (Kirschner *et al.*, 2006). It appears that understanding and addressing algebra errors requires a balanced teaching approach that emphasizes conceptual understanding alongside procedural fluency. By applying theoretical frameworks, educators can develop targeted strategies to prevent and correct common errors in algebra, ensuring that students are better equipped for advanced mathematics.

Results and Discussion

The landscape of algebra research over the past decade reveals considerable strides toward understanding algebraic thinking but also highlights persistent challenges that suggest the need for further innovation. While studies such as those by Blanton *et al.* (2015); Jinfa and Knuth (2011) have underscored the importance of early algebra interventions, they generally rely on controlled classroom environments, making it unclear how these approaches scale in diverse educational settings. For example, Blanton *et al.* work, while pioneering in demonstrating early algebra's potential, may not account for logistical and developmental differences in various education systems, such as the availability of trained teachers and resources. This limitation suggests that while early intervention holds promise, there is still much to learn about how it can be implemented effectively in broader, less controlled environments. Cultural studies, such as those by Cai *et al.* (2010); Lin and Yang (2019), have significantly contributed to the discourse by revealing how cultural factors impact students' approach to algebra. These studies suggest that students' procedural focus in certain education systems, such as East Asia, may provide immediate advantages in algebraic performance but may also lead to shallow conceptual understanding. Yet, while these findings are valuable, they don't entirely resolve the question of how educators can balance procedural fluency and conceptual depth in algebra, a dilemma that has implications for international

Original Article

curricula. Furthermore, the impact of cultural context on algebraic learning has been investigated mainly in the context of East Asia and the West, leaving gaps in our understanding of how students from other regions, such as Latin America or Africa, engage with algebraic concepts. This reflects a need for more inclusive studies that can address the diverse educational needs of students around the world. The use of technology in algebra instruction has garnered considerable attention in recent years, as evidenced by Kieran and Guzmán (2016); Drijvers and Weigand (2019). Their studies on the integration of digital tools, such as dynamic algebra software, highlight how technology can support visual and interactive learning, particularly in making abstract concepts more accessible to students. However, these benefits are often tempered by practical challenges, including limited access to technology and a lack of comprehensive teacher training in many schools worldwide. Drijvers and Weigand (2019) caution that while digital tools hold potential, their effectiveness is contingent upon adequate support systems, which remain unevenly distributed across educational contexts. Thus, while technology may offer partial solutions to the complexities of teaching algebra, its widespread adoption and effectiveness are hindered by systemic barriers. Metacognitive approaches to algebra instruction, as explored by researchers like Jitendra *et al.* (2015); Star and Rittle-Johnson (2017), offer a promising direction for fostering deeper problem-solving skills. These studies suggest that students benefit from strategies that enhance their ability to reflect on and regulate their problemsolving processes, leading to greater success in tackling complex algebraic tasks. However, the challenges remain in integrating metacognitive strategies into regular algebra curricula, as many teachers lack the resources or training to implement these approaches effectively. Additionally, these strategies are generally studied in the context of small-scale interventions and there is little evidence on their long-term impact when embedded into everyday classroom practices. Lastly, the issue of persistent misconceptions, identified by Booth *et al.* (2014); Küchemann (2010), continues to be a central concern in algebra education. Misconceptions related to variables, the equal sign and algebraic expressions are widespread and often resistant to conventional instructional methods. While these studies have successfully pinpointed common errors, there remains a need for instructional strategies that directly address these misconceptions and prevent their formation early in students' mathematical education. This challenge is complicated by variations in curricula, teacher knowledge and students' prior experiences with arithmetic, underscoring the need for more tailored interventions that can meet diverse student needs.

How to Overcome the Difficulties in Algebra?

Based on the literature on algebra errors among high school students, several implications for teaching can help minimize common mistakes and misconceptions. These recommendations can guide teachers in fostering better conceptual understanding, procedural accuracy, and problem-solving skills.

Strengthen Foundational Knowledge

Many algebraic errors stem from weak foundational skills in arithmetic, fractions, and proportional reasoning (Malahlela, 2017). Teachers should allocate time for revisiting these concepts and ensuring students can fluently apply them before progressing to complex algebraic tasks.

Emphasize Conceptual Understanding

Research by Melhuish *et al.* (2022) highlights the importance of bridging conceptual and procedural knowledge in algebra. Teachers can use multiple representations (graphs, equations, tables) and encourage discussions about the meaning behind algebraic operations to deepen understanding.

Explicitly Address Common Misconceptions

Original Article

Identifying and explicitly teaching about common errors, such as misinterpreting variables, misapplying rules (e.g., distribution) and incorrect symbol use, can help prevent these errors. Teachers can provide examples of both correct and incorrect approaches and discuss why errors occur (Wardani and Megawati, 2017).

Encourage Justification and Reasoning

To reduce the rote application of rules, teachers should encourage students to justify their steps and explain their reasoning (Sarımanoğlu, 2019). For example, asking students to articulate why they applied a specific operation or why their solution makes sense can help build critical thinking.

Use Error Analysis as a Learning Tool

Analysing errors collaboratively in the classroom helps students identify where and why mistakes happen. Teachers can use incorrect worked examples as discussion points and encourage students to diagnose and correct the errors (Chirume, 2017).

Incorporate Formative Assessment and Feedback

Regular formative assessments can help identify patterns in errors early, allowing teachers to provide targeted feedback. Feedback should focus not only on the error itself but also on strategies to avoid it in the future (Ng and Lee, 2019; Wardani *et al.*, 2020).

Connect Algebra to Real-World Applications

Making algebra relevant to students' experiences can improve engagement and understanding. By contextualizing problems in real-world scenarios, teachers can help students see the value of algebraic thinking and reduce disengagement, which is often linked to errors.

Promote Peer Collaboration Well Supervised

Collaborative problem-solving allows students to discuss, critique and refine their understanding of algebraic concepts. Well-supervised and appropriate peer interactions can help clarify misconceptions and improve overall comprehension, but the focus should be on being well-supervised so that students do not simply use it to discuss other non-mathematical aspects (Melhuish *et al.*, 2022). By implementing these strategies, teachers can create a supportive learning environment that emphasizes understanding, reduces errors, and builds students' confidence in algebra.

Conclusion and Implications

The existing body of literature on algebra instruction provides valuable insights into early intervention, cultural influences, technology integration, metacognitive strategies, and common misconceptions. Collectively, these studies underscore the complexity of teaching algebra, an area of mathematics that demands both procedural fluency and conceptual understanding. Despite these advances, there remain significant gaps in the literature (Bush and Cook, 2020). Research tends to be concentrated within specific educational contexts, often overlooking the needs of diverse learners, especially those from underrepresented or resource-constrained environments. Moreover, the effectiveness of recommended instructional practices, such as early algebra interventions and metacognitive training, remains largely untested in typical, large-scale classroom settings. The scarcity of research into the specific algebraic needs of high school students is particularly concerning, given the vital role that algebra plays as a gateway to advanced mathematics and STEM careers. High school students, often struggling with more complex algebraic concepts like functions, polynomials and systems of equations, face

Original Article

unique cognitive challenges that are insufficiently addressed by early algebra studies. Similarly, the role of technology in supporting high school algebra instruction has been relatively underexplored in terms of its effectiveness beyond procedural training. Future research should focus on developing and testing scalable frameworks that integrate insights from early algebra, technology-enhanced instruction, metacognition and cultural responsiveness. Longitudinal studies that assess the long-term impact of these interventions on students' algebraic understanding and overall mathematical competence would be especially valuable. Additionally, further cross-cultural studies could offer a more comprehensive understanding of how different educational systems approach algebra, identifying practices that could be adapted and applied globally. In terms of practical implications, there is a clear need for teacher training programs that equip educators with the skills necessary to implement these varied instructional approaches effectively. As algebra continues to be a critical area of difficulty for students worldwide, new research should aim not only to clarify and address existing knowledge gaps but also to develop accessible, adaptable resources that teachers can use to foster meaningful, sustained improvements in algebraic thinking. The literature on algebra education has seen some recent developments, though gaps remain, especially in the exploration of how to foster algebraic thinking in ways that meet the needs of today's diverse student populations. Studies from 2015 onward have emphasized digital and game-based learning methods, which are gaining traction as innovative ways to engage students. For example, Hulse *et al.* (2019) developed a game-based approach to support early algebraic thinking by integrating number sense activities with algebraic concepts, finding positive impacts on elementary students' mathematical understanding. Additionally, Jiménez *et al.* (2020) introduced digital escape rooms as tools for secondary education in Spain, which showed promising results in motivating students to engage with algebra in more interactive ways. These findings underscore the potential of technology to make algebra learning more accessible and enjoyable for students (Hulse *et al.*, 2019; Jiménez *et al.*, 2020). In the international context, studies have focused on diverse aspects of algebra education. For instance, Kärki *et al.* (2022) explored the use of digital games to improve rational number knowledge, which is foundational for algebraic thinking, suggesting that digital environments could enhance algebraic skill acquisition by making abstract concepts more tangible. Similarly, İlhan (2021) investigated the impact of collaborative and modelling-based learning methods, noting improvements in student achievement and engagement in mathematics, including algebra. However, the field still faces challenges in fully understanding and addressing the persistent difficulties students encounter with algebraic concepts. A 2022 review by Frontiers in Mathematics identified that despite increased research activity, there remains a lack of robust longitudinal studies on effective algebraic teaching strategies that address diverse cognitive and affective needs in secondary education. This underscores a gap in the literature for approaches that could support students in transitioning from arithmetic to algebra seamlessly. While these recent studies highlight the promising role of digital tools and interactive learning methods, the field lacks comprehensive, large-scale research that investigates how these tools can be effectively integrated into standard curricula across different educational contexts. Most of the studies are localized, focusing on specific student demographics or settings, which may limit the generalizability of their findings. Furthermore, the ongoing shift toward digital and gamified learning raises questions about equitable access to technology, as well as the need for professional development for teachers to effectively implement these tools. Despite these advancements, there is a pressing need for contemporary studies that specifically target the developmental progression of algebraic thinking from early to late adolescence, a critical period for mathematical skill-building. The gap in research on culturally responsive algebra teaching methods also remains a challenge, as diverse student needs are not fully addressed in many current frameworks. The current state of algebra education research suggests that while there are emerging innovations, a significant gap persists in understanding and addressing the complex cognitive demands of algebra, particularly in diverse and resource-limited educational environments. The reliance on traditional methods continues to hinder some students, highlighting the need for more inclusive and adaptive teaching frameworks. Future research should focus on scalable, inclusive approaches that bridge the transition

Original Article

from arithmetic to algebra more effectively. Additionally, longitudinal studies that examine the longterm impacts of digital tools and interactive methods on students' algebraic thinking could provide insights that lead to lasting improvements in algebra education. These efforts are essential to address the algebra learning challenges that remain unresolved in today's global educational landscape (Radatz, 2017). Finally, there are five findings of this study that we need to take away in addition to earlier implications for teaching.

Misconceptions in Algebra Persist Across Grade Levels

Many studies highlight that algebraic misconceptions are not confined to early grades but can persist throughout a student's academic trajectory. For example, Booth *et al.* (2014) found that persistent misconceptions about algebraic symbols, like treating them as mere variables rather than placeholders, can undermine students' success. Additionally, research by Kieran (1992) suggests that a lack of understanding of algebraic properties often results in errors that impede more complex algebraic reasoning later on.

Conceptual Understanding is Critical for LongTerm Success

Research consistently shows that developing a strong conceptual foundation in algebra beyond rote memorization of rules is essential. According to Hiebert and Lefevre (1986); Lin and Yang (2019), procedural fluency must be paired with conceptual understanding for students to apply algebra effectively in novel situations. This dual approach helps prevent the errors that arise when students apply rules without fully understanding them McNeil and Alibali (2017).

The Role of Error Analysis in Teaching

Analyzing student errors is a valuable tool for teaching algebra. Booth *et al.* (2019) argue that error analysis can provide teachers with insights into students' misconceptions, which can guide the implementation of targeted interventions. Radford (2014) supports this by noting that understanding the nature of mistakes in algebra helps teachers adjust their instruction to focus on problem areas, improving student learning outcomes (Hansen and Cook, 2016).

Technology Can Mitigate Errors but Requires Careful Integration

While digital tools can support algebra learning, improper or overuse of technology may lead to errors or misunderstandings. For example, Drijvers and Weigand (2019) demonstrate that dynamic software tools, such as graphing calculators and algebraic apps, can help students visualize problems but also risk reinforcing incorrect strategies if not properly guided. It is important for teachers to balance the use of such tools with conceptual teaching to avoid fostering a dependence on computational shortcuts.

Early Intervention Can Prevent Long-Term Struggles

Intervening early in a student's algebraic education can prevent the development of deep-seated errors that hinder future learning. According to Blanton *et al.* (2015); Warren and Cooper (2020), early algebra interventions are effective at addressing common misconceptions before they become ingrained. These interventions often focus on building a robust understanding of algebraic thinking, which lays the foundation for more advanced mathematics learning. These findings highlight the multifaceted nature of algebra errors and emphasize the importance of targeted, conceptual-focused teaching to address them across all levels of education (Bush and Cook, 2020). This approach combines numerical examples, visual aids, and error analysis to address the overgeneralization error effectively. Repeatedly showing how the missing term arises fosters a deeper

Original Article

understanding of binomial expansion and reduces the likelihood of repeating this common mistake (Shin and Bryant, 2021).

REFERENCES

- Blanton, M., Stephens, A., Knuth, E., Gardiner, A. M., Isler, I., & Kim, J.-S. (2015). the development of children's algebraic thinking: The impact of a comprehensive early algebra intervention in third grade. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 46(1), 39–87. <https://doi.org/10.5951/jresmetheduc.46.1.0039>
- Booth, J. L., Barbieri, C., Eyer, F., & Pare-Blagoev, E. J. (2014). Persistent and pernicious errors in algebraic problem solving. *The Journal of Problem Solving*, 7(1), Article 3.
- Booth, J. L., Lange, K. E., Koedinger, K. R., & Newton, K. J. (2019). Algebra misconceptions and their relationship to cognitive and instructional factors. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 111(3), 431–454.
- Bush, W. S., & Cook, K. L. (2020). Analyzing algebraic errors: A framework for diagnosis and remediation. *Mathematics Teacher Educator*, 9(2), 145–163.
- Cai, J., Nie, B., & Moyer, J. C. (2010). The teaching of equation solving: Approaches in standards-based and traditional curricula in the United States. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*, 5(3), 170–186.
- Chirume, S. (2017). A critical analysis of errors made by rural and urban students in 'A' level mathematics paper 1 (4008/1) in Shurugwi and Gweru Districts, Zimbabwe. *Asian Journal of Education and e-Learning*, 5(2), 63–73.
- D'Ambrosio, B., & Lynch-Davis, K. (2020). The role of technology in supporting students' algebraic understanding during remote learning. *Journal of Mathematics Education*, 11(3), 121–136.
- Drijvers, P., & Weigand, H.-G. (2019). The role of digital technology in algebra education. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 17(4), 819–839.
- Goos, M. (2004). Teaching and learning algebra: A case study of teaching strategies in mathematics education. *Australian Journal of Education*, 48(3), 274–291.
- Hansen, A., & Cook, M. (2016). Analyzing student errors in algebra to improve classroom instruction. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 28(3), 391–412. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-016-0170-7>
- Hiebert, J., & Lefevre, P. (1986). *Conceptual and procedural knowledge: The case of mathematics*. Routledge.
- Hulse, T., Daigle, M., Manzo, D., Braith, L., Harrison, A., & Ottmar, E. (2019). From Here to There! Elementary: A game-based approach to developing number sense and early algebraic understanding. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 67(2), 423–441.

Original Article

- İlhan, A. (2021). The impact of game-based, modeling, and collaborative learning methods on the achievements, motivations, and visual mathematical literacy perceptions. *SAGE Open*, 11(1).
- Jiménez, C., Arís, N., Magreñán Ruiz, Á. & Orcos, L. (2020). Digital escape room, using Genial.ly and a breakout to learn algebra at secondary education level in Spain. *Education Sciences*, 10(10), 271.
- Jinfa, C., & Knuth, E. (Eds.). (2011). *early algebraization: A global dialogue from multiple perspectives*. Springer.
- Jitendra, A. K., Petersen-Brown, S., Lein, A. E., Zaslofsky, A. F., Kunkel, A. K., Jung, P.-G., & Egan, A. M. (2015). Teaching mathematical word problem solving. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 48(1), 51–72.
- Kaput, J. J. (2017). What is algebra? What is algebraic reasoning? In J. J. Kaput, D. W. Carraher, & M. L. Blanton (Eds.), *Algebra in the early grades* (pp. 5–18). Routledge.